

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/748,504	3,504 12/26/2000		Koji Hayashi	10449-031001	3357	
26161	7590	12/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				CHU, KIN	CHU, KIM KWOK	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2653		
				DATE MAILED: 12/21/200:	DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/748,504	HAYASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kim-Kwok CHU	2653		

	·			
7	he MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY	FILED 20 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
this ap	oly was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or plication, applicant must timely file one of the follo the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notes to Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant eriods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir	affidavit, or other evider a compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	e period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) 🔲 The	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for		
TW	aminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or /O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).		
have been filed under 37 CFR set forth in (b)	time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date d is the date for purposes of determining the period of explanation is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latery earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or or than three months after the mailing o	nt of the fee. The appropri iginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
of the o	otice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 November 2005</u> . A date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a). Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep	a)), or any extension thereof (37 C	FR 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
(a) ☐ 1 (b) ☐ 1	roposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	onsideration and/or search (see No ow);	OTE below);	·
_ 6	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.	
	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
	ant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(
6. Newly	proposed or amended claim(s) would be a owable claim(s).	·	e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For pur how the The sta	rposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) e new or amended claims would be rejected is proatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) 🛛 vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s	s) objected to: s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 The affi becaus	idavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the applicant failed to provide a showing of good are the tearlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence is	<u>t</u> be entered necessary and
entered showing	idavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing d because the affidavit or other evidence failed to g a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
REQUEST F	ffidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
See C	equest for reconsideration has been considered bu Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. ☐ Note t 13. ☐ Other:	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

(a) with respect to claim 1, Applicant states that "Tsukihashi does not disclose clock generator which suspends providing a system clock to an encoder until the decoding catches up with the encoding, when the decoding of the decoder is delayed from the encoding of the encoder" (page 1 of the Remarks, lines 6-8). Accordingly, the operation of a decoder is always delayed/lagged from an encoder because data read (decoded) from the medium has to be encoded (written) first. In other words, the reading /decoding process always follow the writing/encoding process. Under this condition, the decoding operation must catches up with the encoding operation. In order to achieve the catch up process, the decoder and the encoder has to be synchronized in order to prevent the error of not enough time to read/decode too much recorded data (buffer underrun). For example, the encoder is stopped (no system clock or switch to other clock instead of system clock) so that it does not generate recording data in order that the decoder can catch up to read the recorded data.

In the cited prior art, Tsukihasi teaches that if there is a buffer underrun about to occur, data recording to a disk can be suspended/interrupted (column 2, lines 4-8; column 5, lines 17-31; switching of a system clock, column 7, lines 13-34). In other words, the encoding/recording of data is stopped as the encoder 11 is in a standby status and then resumed later.

(b) with respect to claim 7, Applicant states that the prior art of Tsukihaski does not disclose suspending the generation of second encoded data ..." (page 1 of the remark, lines 9-13). Accordingly, the prior art of Tsukihashi teaches a method for controlling interruption and restart (resume operation) of writing data to a recording medium (Fig. 1; abstract). Furthermore, the second encoded data is just an encoded data with additional sub-code information attached. Tsukihashi also teaches the decoding catches up with the encoding as explained in above item (a).

Examiner: Fin CHU (571)272-7585.

14/19/05.